
PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE	2. LOCATION		12. CONCLUSIONS
9 Jan 58 3. DATE-TIME GROUP	Biggs AFR, El		□ Was Balloon □ Probably Balloon □ Possibly Balloon
GMT_10/0137Z	☐ Ground-Visual ☐ Air-Visual	© Ground-Radar	□ Was Aircraft □ Probably Aircraft □ Possibly Aircraft
5. PHOTOS D Yes No	Civilian		Was Astronomical Probably Astronomical Possibly Astronomical
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 5 mins	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS	9. COURSE South	Other Poss birds Insufficient Data for Evaluation Unknown
Eight to 11 objs w/no regular formation, The evidence presented in this but all in close proximity to each other rpt indicates a good possibility Velocity 0 to 50 knots. Irregular course/of a small flock of large birds generally South. All objs disappeared on radar scope one at a time. The returns dissappeared while in the vicinity of the only lake in the local area.			

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)

d. Inclosure #4: The evidence presented in this report indicates a good



JAN 11 008 44 58

1-3/4/EH

SQB131 YDA125 WYBØ89 WDBØ91BGAØ52

PP RJEDDN RJEDSQ RJEPHQ

DE RJWFBG 27

P 1116412

FM 81Ø ADIV

TO RJEDDN/COM ADC ENT

BJWFKD/34 ADIV

RJEDSQ/COM AIR TECH INTEL CEN

RJEPHQ/DIR OF INTEL HQUSAF

BT

UNCLAS FROM 95 DO8-5346.

FOR COMMANDERS. SUBJECT: UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

IN ACCORDANCE WITH AFR 200-2 THE FOLLOWING REPORT IS SUBMITTED:

1. OBJECTS WERE SIGHTED ON RADAR BY THE OFFICIALS IN CHARGE

OF THE RAPCON STATION BIGGS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS

VISUAL SIGHTINGS WERE ATTEMPTED BUT NONE WERE SUCCESSFUL.

- A. NEGATIVE
- B. NEGATIVE
- C. NEGATIVE
- D. EIGHT TO ELEVEN

mcl. 4.

OF NECSSARY SPECIALIZED INFORMATION IT IS THE OPIONION OF THE PREPARING OFFICER THAT THESE UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS COULD NOT HAVE BEEN ANY KNOWN AIRBORNE OBJECT. EXCEPT FOR THE SUDDEN APPEARANCE AND DISSAPPERANCE ON THE RADAR SCOPE AND THE FACT THAT NO AIRCRAFT WERE CLEARED FOR SUCH A FLIGHT BY THE COCAL CAA FACILITY, THE POSSIBILITY EXISTS THAT THE RADAR RETURNS COULD HAVE BEEN FROM A FLIGHT OF HELIOCOPTERS. ANOTHER POSSIBILITY, IS THAT THE RADAR RETURNS MAY HAVE BEEN FROM A FLIGHT OF DUCKS. IF SUCH AN EXPLANATION IS POSSIBLE IT APPEARS TO BE A REASONABLE EXPLANATION. THE RETURNS DISSAPPEARED WHILE IN THE VICINITY OF THE ONLY LAKE IN THE LOCAL AREA. RADAR RETURNS PROVIDED BY UNUSUAL ATMOSPHERIC CONDITIONS APPEARS TO BE A LOGICAL EXPLANATION. HOWEVER, UNUSUAL ATMOSPHERIC CONDITIONS DO NOT APPEAR TO HAVE

PAGE FIVE RJUFBG 27

EXISTED AT THE TIME. NO RADAR SCOPE PHOTOGRAPHY WAS TAKEN.

BT

11/1649Z JAN RJWFBG

AFCIN-AE-1 Comments/Conclusions.

Signedi

PAGE TWO RJWFBG 27

- E. NO REGUALR FORMATION BUT ALL IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO EACH OTHER.
- F. NEGATIVE
- G. NEGATIVE
- H. NEGATIVE
- I. VELOCITY ZERO TO 50 KNOTS
- 2. IRREGULAR COURSE GENERALLY SOUTH.
 - A. SIGHTING ON RAPCON RADAR.
 - B. VERTICAL.
 - C. ESTIMATED ELEVATION 60 DEGREES AZIMUTH 180 DEGREES.
 - D. IRREGULAR FLIGHT PATH NO IDENTIFIABLE MANUEVERS.
 - E. ALL OBJECTS DISAPPEARED ON RADAR SCOPE ONE AT A TIME
 APPROXIMATELY FOUR MILES SOUTH OF BIGGS AIR FORCE BASE, EL PASO
 TEXAS.
 - F. FIVE MINUTES.
- 3A. GROUND ELECTRONIC CTN/18 TYPE RADAR.
 - B. NEGATIVE
 - C. NEGATIVE
- 4. A. 10/01372, JAN 58 013778= 1837
 - B. NIGHT
- 5. BIGGS AIR FORCE BASE, EL PASO, TEXAS.
- 6. A. CIVILIANS

PAGE THREE RJWFBG 27

NAME

AGE

37

ADDRESS

OCCUPATION

EL PASO

AIR OFERATIONS

34 200 34

MILITARY

S/SGT 26
S/SGT 42

7. A. NO WEATHER PHENOMENA

B. SURFACE CALRN
6000 280/17
10,000 310/22
16,000 280/31
20,000 290/39

30,000 280/61 50,000 290/39

80,000 100/06 TUCSON REPORT.

C. UNLIMITED

D. 20 MILES PLUS

E. NONE

PAGE FOUR RJWFBG 27

F. NONE

8. NONE

9. NEGATIVE

10. NONE

11. WING STAFF NAVIGATOR 95TH BOMB WING. BIGGS AIR FORCE

BASE, TEXAS. ANALYSIS OF THE AVAILABLE INFORMATION

PROUTER NO BACTE FOR A CATTEFACTORY FYRIANIATION. IN THE ARSENCE